School of Graduate Studies
Graduate Council Minutes
October 18, 2012
Dempster 102, 8:30 a.m.

Members Present: Eddleman, presiding; Brune, Caldwell, Freshwater, Fulkerson, Gathman, Gunn, Gupta, Grueneberg, Heischmidt, Judd, Roberts, Starrett, Veneziano, Zen.
Visitors: Beth Easter, Department of Health, Human Performance and Recreation

The Minutes of September 20, 2012 were approved (Caldwell/Freshwater).

Reports:

Dean: 1. Eddleman began by mentioning the recent report of a previous student making headlines for his attempt to carry out an illegal terrorist attack in New York. Eddleman mentioned national news agencies are calling people at SEMO to get reactions. He stated anyone receiving such a call should refer them to Ann Hayes at the News Bureau, extension 2552.
2. Eddleman mentioned the recent hire of his Administrative Assistant, Karen Gleseson. He asked the members of the council to be patient when calling his Office as Karen is learning new policies and procedures.
3. Grueneberg mentioned everyone now has access to the Graduate database.
4. Eddleman mentioned McFerron has been tracking graduate admissions. Indicators are showing we are ahead with a small increase.
5. Eddleman stated that Graduate Assistant requests for next fall should include what departments are doing to recruit new students.

Academic Standards and Assessment Committee Report(s) (Brune):
Brune stated they are working to update the Graduate Bulletin. This includes contacting departments that have information to place within the Bulletin.

Brune also mentioned that the Academic Standards and Assessment Committees (along with Kent Library) met (October 15th) to discuss the implementation of an electronic theses.

Tim Judd reported the above committees made recommendations for electronic theses storage and the approval process for theses. A handout was provided to committee members outlining these recommendations (attached).

- Proquest (an outside source) will be used to store our theses. This will be easier on Kent Library. In addition, this gets us out of the binding business. Students will simply request the number of theses they wish to have bound through Proquest.
- Role of Reader – outlines the steps to take when the reader has issues. Eddleman mentioned that since time constraints are placed on our reader to complete everything within a month, another thesis reader may need to be drafted.

Adopted: (Roberts/Freshwater)

Curriculum Committee Report (Heischmidt): the committee has not met.
Heischmidt brought up a proposed course (IM 601). A discussion began on
comparisons between this course in Industrial and Engineering Technology and courses in Marketing/Management.

Eddleman mentioned that the courses for electronic vote have been approved. They will then be up for a 30 day review. During this time, a department can contest a course. Once contested, the process is put on hold until the departments are able to get together and work out their differences. Judy Wiles has already communicated with Brad Deken about her concerns.

**Action Item Approved:**
1. MA Higher Education Administration – Proposed changes to Athletic Administration focus (Heischmidt/Gupta)

**Action Items Tabled (until next Council meeting):**
1. MS Technology Management – Adding Options in Cybersecurity & 3D Animation and Gaming (Judd/Fulkerson)

**Informational Items:**
1. Courses for Electronic Vote:
   a. IM 601 Managing Technology Innovation (will actually be IM 600)
   b. IM 691 Understanding Graduate Research
   c. PO 600 Policing in Info Age

**Graduate Faculty Approval:**
a. Vijay Anand-Industrial & Engineering Technology-Regular Status
b. Khaled Bawaneh-Industrial & Engineering Technology-Regular Status
c. Dr. Indi Braden-Agriculture-Regular Status
d. Wendy Cooper-Industrial & Engineering Technology-Regular Status
e. John Dudley-Industrial & Engineering Technology-Associate Status
f. Bradley Phillips-Industrial & Engineering Technology-Associate Status
g. James Eric Sentell-English-Associate Status

**Other:**
Eddleman mentioned that the deadline for graduate faculty review is October 26th. It is up to the department chairs to collect all the information requested. At this time, only a third of the chairs’ reports have been received.

**Meeting adjourned (Roberts/Freshwater)**